

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

GEORGE RENÉ LANE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CIVIL NO. 1:04CV00789
	)	
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;	)	
DAVID W. PRESLEY; CHRISTINE C.)	)	
TROIANELLO; WALTER GIBBONS;	)	
and CLAUDIA STICKNEY,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

O R D E R

BULLOCK, District Judge

For the reasons set forth in the memorandum opinion filed contemporaneously herewith,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant Stickney's motion to dismiss [Doc. # 26] for lack of personal jurisdiction is **GRANTED**, and this action is **DISMISSED** as to Defendant Claudia Stickney.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Presley's motion to dismiss [Doc. #29], Defendant Troianello's motion to dismiss [Doc. #15], and Defendant Gibbons's motion to dismiss [Doc. #11] for failure to state a claim are **GRANTED**, and this action is **DISMISSED** as to Defendants David W. Presley, Christine Troianello, and Walter Gibbons.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Lucent's motion to

dismiss regarding the timeliness of Plaintiff's service on Defendant Lucent [Doc. #35] is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Lucent's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claims relating to his untimely EEOC charges [Doc. #35] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel [Doc. # 18], for in camera EEOC case folder review [Doc. #19], and for miscellaneous relief [Docs. #34-1, 34-2, and 34-3] are **DENIED**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge

June 10, 2005